

N00204.AR.004583  
NAS PENSACOLA  
5090.3a

LETTER REGARDING FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
COMMENTS ON THE REVISED DRAFT SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN REVISION 2  
EXPANDED SITE INSPECTION FOR BUILDING 3221 NAS PENSACOLA FL  
2/12/2014  
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



**FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF  
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION**

BOB MARTINEZ CENTER  
2600 BLAIRSTONE ROAD  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-2400

RICK SCOTT  
GOVERNOR

CARLOS LOPEZ-CANTERA  
LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR.  
SECRETARY

February 12, 2014

Ms. Patty Marajh-Whittemore  
Remedial Project Manager  
ITP Gulf Coast  
Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southeast  
Attn: AJAX Street, Building 135N  
P.O. Box 30A  
Jacksonville, FL 32212-0030

RE: Revised Draft Sampling and Analysis Plan (Field Sampling Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan), Revision 2, Expanded Site Inspection, Building 3221, Naval Air Station Pensacola, Pensacola

Dear Patty:

The Department has reviewed the Revised Draft Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP), Revision 2, Expanded Site Inspection, Building 3221, Naval Air Station Pensacola, dated November 2013 (received November 6, 2013), prepared by Tetra Tech, Inc. Except for response to my comment on the Draft SAP regarding field decontamination, my comments have been adequately addressed and incorporated into the plan. In Section 14.1.8, it still says that decontamination will be in "general accordance" with EPA's standard operating procedure. Either decontamination will be in accordance with EPA's SOP or it will deviate in some way. Please further elaborate on the decontamination procedure to be used. I also have the following editorial comments on the Revised Draft SAP:

- (1) In Worksheet No. 7, under my responsibilities, please replace "IDEM" with "FDEP".
- (2) In Section 10.2.3, third sentence, please replace "tanks" with "tank".
- (3) At the end of Section 10.2.3, please specify the date the Department issued the No Further Action Order for UST Site 3221NE.
- (4) At the end of Section 10.2.4, please specify the date the Department issued the No Further Action Order or issued a letter stating no further action was required at UST Site 3221NW.

Ms. Patty Marajh-Whittemore  
Revised Draft SAP (Revision 2)  
Expanded Site Inspection, Building 3221  
Page 2 of 2  
February 12, 2014

- (5) In Section 10.2.5, second paragraph, third sentence, it mentions the installation of the waste oil tank at Site 44 in 1989-1990. Please note that Site 44 is a recent designation and that the waste oil tank was installed at Building 3221.
- (6) At the end of Section 10.2.5, please specify the date the Department issued the No Further Action Order for UST Site 3221SW.
- (7) In Section 11.4, third bullet, please replace “than” with “then”.
- (8) In Figure 10-4, in Note 6, the words “water” and “industrial” are misspelled.

The work proposed seems to be a reasonably thorough attempt to determine if activities in Building 3221 have adversely affected the environment through soil and/or groundwater contamination. Upon making the corrections above and addressing EPA’s comments, the SAP should be suitable for its intended use. If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact me at (850) 245-8997.

Sincerely,



David P. Grabka, P.G.  
Remedial Project Manager  
DoD and Brownfields Partnerships  
Waste Cleanup Program

CC: Greg Campbell, NAS Pensacola  
Tim Woolheater, EPA Region 4  
Gerry Walker, Tetra Tech, Tallahassee  
Allison Harris, Ensafe, Memphis, TN

KAW 